

# Legal Journal

*Chronology of Covid 19 related law suits in UK and around the world*

*Live document - updated 1<sup>st</sup> of September 2022*



## 1 September 2022

### High Court action - Medical records processed by DeepMind

Alphabet's Google and sister firm DeepMind are facing legal action for the way in which they obtained and processed over a million patient health records without consent in the U.K. British law firm "Mishcon de Reya" has filed a claim with the High Court on behalf of Andrew Prismall and approximately 1.6 million other individuals whose medical records were obtained by DeepMind as part of an effort to develop a patient monitoring app called Streams. DeepMind - a London artificial intelligence lab that was acquired by Google in 2014 - found itself in the spotlight in 2016 when the New Scientist reported that its collaboration with the U.K.'s National Health Service went beyond what was publicly announced. DeepMind, and the Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, signed a deal in 2015 that gave DeepMind access to pseudonymized patient data. The U.K.'s Information Commissioner's Office ruled in 2017 that the data-sharing agreement between DeepMind and the NHS failed to comply with data protection law. Mishcon Partner Ben Lasserson said in a statement that the planned lawsuit "should help to answer fundamental questions about the handling of sensitive personal data." He added that "it comes at a time of heightened public interest and understandable concern over who has access to people's personal data and medical records and how this access is managed."

## 30 July 2022

### Class action settlement USA

Liberty Counsel settled USA's first class-wide lawsuit for health care workers over a Covid injection mandate, for more than \$10.3 million. The class action settlement against NorthShore University Health System is on behalf of more than 500 current and former health care workers who were unlawfully discriminated against and denied religious exemptions from the Covid injection mandate. The settlement must be approved by the federal District Court and was filed in the federal Northern District Court of Illinois. The amount of individual payments from the settlement fund will depend on how many valid and timely claim forms are submitted during the claims process. If the settlement is approved by the court and all or nearly all of the affected employees file valid and timely claims, it is estimated that employees who were terminated or resigned because of their religious refusal of a Covid injection will receive approximately \$25,000 each, and employees who were forced to accept a Covid injection against their religious beliefs to keep their jobs will receive approximately \$3,000 each. The 13 health care workers who are lead plaintiffs in the lawsuit will receive an additional approximate payment of \$20,000 each for their important role in bringing this lawsuit and representing the class of NorthShore health care workers. This is a historic, first-of-its-kind class action settlement against a private employer who unlawfully denied hundreds of religious exemption requests for Covid injections. As part of the settlement agreement, NorthShore will also change its unlawful "no religious accommodations" policy to

make it consistent with the law, and to provide religious accommodations in every position across its numerous facilities. No position in any NorthShore facility will be considered off limits to unvaccinated employees with approved religious exemptions. In addition, employees who were terminated because of their religious refusal of the Covid injections will be eligible for rehire if they apply within 90 days of final settlement approval by the court, and they will retain their previous seniority level.

## **20 July 2022**

### **Social media collusion lawsuit against Biden administration USA**

The attorneys general of Louisiana and Missouri filed a lawsuit in May 2022 alleging Biden and eight high-ranking members of his administration colluded with and /or coerced social media companies Meta, Twitter and YouTube to suppress “disfavored speakers, viewpoints, and content on social media platforms.” President Joe Biden, members of his administration and select social media companies must turn over documents and answer questions within the next 30 days during a discovery phase of a lawsuit alleging collusion to suppress freedom of speech, a court ruled.

Terry Doughty, a judge in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Louisiana, ruled there is “good cause” for the discovery process and set a timetable, including specific deadlines for depositions. In May, Missouri and Louisiana filed a landmark lawsuit against top-ranking Biden Administration officials for allegedly colluding with social media giants to suppress freedom of speech on a number of topics including the origins of COVID-19, the efficacy of masks, and election integrity. The four-count lawsuit alleges the social media companies labelled content “disinformation” and “misinformation.” The suit contends the suppression constitutes government action and violates freedom of speech protected by the First Amendment. The lawsuit also alleges the federal government’s actions exceeded statutory authority and the Department of Health and Human Services and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) violated the Administrative Procedure Act.

## **7 February 2022**

### **Criminal Case 6029679/21 update**

Case briefing document was presented to police identifying the following defendants; AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Moderna, NHS, MHRA, JCVI, UK Government. The document comprised; background statement, post vaccination death and injury reports, forensic investigation of injection vials for discovery of toxins or unexpected contents. RAMAN spectroscopy of the contents of injection vials confirmed the presence of; Graphene, SP3 Carbon, Iron Oxide, Carbon derivatives and glass shards. The toxicology report is summarised hereunder. Graphene nanomaterial [GFNs] can penetrate the body's natural barriers and damage the central nervous system. Graphene Oxide [GO] can damage internal organs, damage the reproduction and development system, destroy blood health, damages and destroys cells, can trigger cancer and accelerate ageing, damages mitochondria and DNA, triggers an inflammatory response and three different kinds of cell death, and causes changes in gene function. Based on evidence presented, the police were requested to take action to immediately cease the roll-out of the experimental injections.

## **6 February 2022**

A group of international lawyers and a judge commence a criminal investigation modelled on the United States Grand Jury proceedings. The investigation - based on natural law - is of the people,

by the people and for the people, and referred to a "Peoples' Court of Public Opinion" [PCPO]. The PCPO works independent of any government and any non-governmental organisation and serves to present to a jury - consisting of the citizens of the world - all available evidence of Crimes against Humanity committed to date. The proceedings should motivate people across the globe to institute criminal proceedings and civil proceedings - for damages including punitive damages - against all those who are criminally and civilly responsible for atrocities committed in their communities and regions.

### **3 February 2022**

Peoples Union of Britain [PUB] laid the papers in the Midazolam Murders case on 21 December 2021. A judge has been assigned to administer the applications. This is planned for the first week of March 2022.

### **15 January 2022**

The "International Common Law Court of Justice" [I C L C J] convicted corporate, government, and church leaders of crimes against humanity and ordered the arrest of 75 individuals. Arrest warrant and warrant of seizure and expropriation of personal, and corporate assets and properties was issued: Case Docket No. 09152021-A001. Court Sheriffs are tasked with enforcing the warrants.

### **20 December 2021**

A complaint was made to Metropolitan Police alleging Gross Negligent Manslaughter and Serious Misconduct in Public Office. The Complainants are lawyer Philip Hyland of PJH Law, lawyer Lois Bayliss of Broad Yorkshire Law, Medical Doctor Sam White and retired Police Constable Mark Sexton. The complainants allege numerous serious crimes including misfeasance and misconduct in public office; gross negligence manslaughter, corporate manslaughter, murder, conspiracy to murder, genocide and crimes against humanity. Crime reference number 6029679/21 was provided to the complainants by Hammersmith Police Station, acknowledging that an allegation had been received and recorded.

### **18 December 2021**

A group including Dr. Michael Yeadon, former Vice President of Pfizer, filed a complaint representing British citizens in the International Criminal Court (ICC) against Boris Johnson and British officials, Bill and Melinda Gates, directors of large pharmaceutical companies, World Economic Forum executive chairman Klaus Schwab, and others charging them with crimes against humanity. The group based in the UK, which includes an astrophysicist and a funeral director amongst their ranks, also charged Dr. Anthony Fauci; Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, director-general of the World Health Organization (WHO); June Raine, chief executive of Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA); Dr. Radiv Shah, president of the Rockefeller Foundation; and Dr. Peter Daszak, president of EcoHealth Alliance, as "responsible for numerous violations of the Nuremberg Code ... war crimes and crimes of aggression in the UK and several other countries. The petitioners are calling with the utmost urgency for the ICC *"to stop the rollout of COVID vaccinations, introduction of unlawful vaccination passports and all other types of illegal warfare ... being waged against the people of the UK."* Among the group's complaint filed on the 6th of December, they provide evidence that the "vaccines" were in fact nothing but gene therapies that were a product of engineering with gain-of-function research from bat coronaviruses. They further argued that these "vaccines" have resulted in widespread death and injury, but the UK government had failed in investigating these cases of reported deaths and injuries.

Several other arguments made by them included the claim that the Covid statistics including the death numbers were inflated; the face masks posed several risks such as hypoxia, hypercapnia and

other causes; and that the PCR tests were completely unreliable and contain carcinogenic ethylene oxide. Additionally, they argued that effective treatments for Covid-19 including hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin were suppressed and resulted in more Covid 19 mortality than there should have been. They claim the lockdowns were created on the pretext of artificially inflated infections and deaths from a genetically engineered virus, as well as experimental “vaccines” that led to the following results. Serious short-term injuries and deaths with at least 395,049 extreme side effects reported from Covid “vaccines” in the UK alone; sharp increase in calls into ChildLine from vulnerable children during lockdowns; destruction of wealth and businesses through imposed lockdowns; severe deprivation of physical liberty in violation of fundamental rules of international law, which contained travel bans and bans on gathering, and compelled quarantine and self-isolation; the segregation akin to apartheid due to implementation of the vaccine passport system; and expected reduction in fertility post “vaccination,” amongst various other detrimental physical and psychological effects. Additionally, the applicants are adamant on their claim that the suppression of safe and effective alternative treatments for Covid-19 amounts to murder and warrants a full investigation by the court. They found that in addition to censoring and promoting information about these alternative treatments on the internet, some academic journals are blocking the publication of studies showing the effectiveness of drugs such as ivermectin and hydroxychloroquine. According to the complaining applicants, all harmful effects of “vaccines”, quarantines and viruses meet the criteria of genocide, crimes against humanity and war crimes against the British people, because of the perpetrators members of the UK government and world leaders have both knowledge and intent with respect to these alleged crimes. They even argue that the destructive effects of “vaccines”, shutdowns, and engineered viruses are deliberate attempts to reduce population and destabilize social stability as part of a coordinated global program to consolidate wealth and power in the hands of the very few and fortunate. They proceed to engage in the debate that these acts are therefore a crime of aggression, which is, an attempt effectively to exercise control over or to direct the political or military action of a State. In this case, they claim, the goal is to dismantle all the Democratic Nation States, step by step, and to destroy small and medium sized businesses, moving the market shares to the largest corporations, held by the ultra-rich, so as to give this specific elite group considerably greater monetary and political control.

## **7 December 2021**

John Mc Nally and Co Solicitors have lodged proceedings in the High Court in Belfast on behalf of the mother of a 13 year old child, challenging the Dept of Health’s decision to vaccinate healthy children against Covid-19. These pleadings seek the immediate suspension of the vaccination rollout and assert that these injections pose a risk to the lives of children, relying upon evidence obtained from Government’s own internal reporting structures as to vaccine harm within the UK and abroad. Details of these harms, and the potential lethality of Covid-19 vaccinations to children, were provided to the mainstream print media in October, prior to the rollout of the child vaccination campaign, but they elected to ignore requests by Mc Nally Solicitors to forewarn parents and facilitate informed consent.

## **6 December 2021**

Court documents were filed at the International Criminal Court [The Hague] on 6 December 2021: Case No. OTP-CR-473/21 alleging numerous violations of the Nuremberg Code, crimes against humanity and war crimes as defined by the Rome Statutes, Articles 6, 7, 8, 15, 21 and 53. The action is brought by Attorney Hannah Rose and 7 applicants on behalf of the victims: the population of the United Kingdom. The accused are; Anthony Fauci, Peter Daszak, Melinda Gates, William Gates III, Albert Bouola, Stephane Bancel, Pascal Soriot, Alex Gorsky, Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus, Boris Johnson, Christopher Witty, Matthew Hancock, Sajid Javid, June Raine, Dr Ravid Shah, and Klaus Schwab.

### **30 November 2021**

The US District Court Western District of Louisiana - Case No. 3:21-CV-03970 - ordered that the Plaintiff States' Motion for Preliminary Injunction is granted and ordered that the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services, along with their directors, employees, administrators and secretaries are hereby enjoined and restrained from implementing the CMS Mandate set forth in 86 Fed. Reg. 61555-01 (November 5, 2021), as to all healthcare providers, suppliers, owners, employees, and all others covered by said CMS Mandate. It further ordered that the scope of this injunction shall be nationwide, except for the states of Alaska, Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, New Hampshire, Nebraska, Wyoming, North Dakota, South Dakota, since these ten states are already under a preliminary injunction order dated November 29, 2021, issued by the Eastern District of Missouri. It is further ordered that this preliminary injunction shall remain in effect pending the final resolution of this case, or until further orders from this Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, or the United States Supreme Court.

### **9 November 2021**

Formal letter before claim, pursuant to the Judicial Review pre-action protocol was forwarded to the Minister for Health and Social Services Welsh Government by solicitors Bindmans LLP acting for Big Brother Watch Ltd Chinaworks London, as claimant. The claimant proposes to challenge the introduction of the Covid Pass Scheme in Wales, which came into force on 11 October 2021, on the basis that:

- a] there is no, or no sufficient, evidence base for the decision to introduce the passes, particularly given this was not a recommendation by SAGE or TAC and such enquiries that have been made are legally inadequate, and
- b] the scheme is unnecessary and disproportionate, in breach of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights and section 45 d [1] of the Public Health [Control of Diseases] Act 1984.

### **1 November 2021**

On Friday 22 October 2021 the Minister of Covid 19 Response in New Zealand issued an order which amended the Covid 19 Public Health Response [Vaccination] Order 2021. That order was purportedly made under the Covid 19 Public Health Response Act 2020. It now specifies that, unless midwives submit to receiving a Covid 19 vaccination by 15 November 2021, they will lose their livelihoods and their vocation. The order now applies to most workers in the health and disability sector, as well as prisons, schools and early childhood services. The New Zealand Midwives' Collective is a group of midwives from around New Zealand who have come together to oppose mandatory vaccination and uphold the right to informed choice and consent. On 25 October 2021 a small group of midwives filed an application on behalf of the Collective, asking the High Court to declare the vaccination order invalid.

### **5 October 2021**

Lawsuit filed in the highest court in South Africa: the Constitutional Court, Case Number CCT299/21, alleging multiple breaches of the constitution by the President of South Africa, the South African Parliament and South Africa Reserve Bank.

### **16 August 2021**

Oregon State Senators Kim Thatcher and Dennis Linthicum jointly filed a formal petition for a federal grand jury investigation into the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] in the city of Medford, Oregon, Jackson County, USA. The investigation to include wilful misconduct to hyper-inflate death certificate reporting that initiated an avalanche of data degradation and destructive public health policies.

## **14 August 2021**

Scott Workman, Founder, First Delegate, Organizer; Nonlawyer Representative of the Constitutional Convention and Court for the United States of America is planning a **\$500 TRILLION** lawsuit against the fraudulent Federal Government and over 140 Monopolists via the organised Constitutional Convention and Court.

## **4 August 2021**

Alberta Canada Court Judgement [Court file No. 2110 00751].

Patrick King was fined \$1,200 for violating the Alberta Public Health Act for being in a group larger than 10 on 5 December 2020. Patrick represented himself in court. In court, on 4 May 2021, Patrick requested papers describing the isolation of the SARS-CoV-2 virus in human beings directly from a sample taken from a diseased patient, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material; i.e. evidence that the SARS-CoV-2 virus had been isolated, not in a laboratory setting or in a PCR test using high amplification cycle. He issued a subpoena to the Provincial Health Minister, Deena Hinshaw, for proof that the so-called Covid 19 virus exists which would give her the science to justify the Alberta Public Health Act. The government were forced to admit that they had no evidence whatsoever. The virus has never been isolated, and thus the government had no legal grounds to impose any of the punishing restrictions they have inflicted on society. The Province has since rescinded all Covid-Restrictions and now officially treats Covid-19 as nothing more than a mild influenza.

## **29 June 2021**

British Columbia "State of Emergency" retracted. People in British Columbia Canada are free to move around without wearing masks, without social distancing, without any of the restrictive measures imposed by the Trudeau Government on 18 March 2020. Coerced vaccination is over, and no more vaccination certificates.

## **23 June 2021**

Following a citizen's petition, a Lisbon court in Portugal was forced to provide verified Covid 19 mortality data. The number of verified Covid 19 deaths from January 2020 to April 2021 is only 152, not about 17,000 as claimed by government ministries. All the "others" died for various reasons, although their PCR test was positive. The data is from the Sistema de Informação dos Certificados de Óbito [Death Certificate Information System], the only such system in Portugal.

## **22 June 2021**

An order has been received from the Deputy Chief Magistrate in People's Union of Britain's [PUB's] Private Criminal Prosecution against Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson for pandemic fraud, dismissing the case without a hearing. An imminent appeal to the High Court is to be filed in an application to have the judgement overturned. Even if the initial application for permission to appeal is refused on paper, PUB will be entitled to ask that the matter be decided at a hearing before a single judge, which will almost certainly take place at the Royal Courts of Justice within the next few weeks.

## **12 June 2021**

Lawsuit filed by 117 workers at the Huston Methodist Hospital, America. A federal judge dismissed the suit ... saying that firing employees who don't want to be vaccinated doesn't qualify for wrongful termination. Employees face a 21 June 2021 deadline where the hospital has said, all unvaccinated employees will be terminated. Currently, 178 employees at Houston Methodist Hospital are suspended without pay for failing to comply with the vaccination requirement. The lawyer who represents the employees has filed an appeal and says he will take the matter all the way to the Supreme Court if need be. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has said

that firing an employee for refusing the vaccine is not violating the law, although companies have to offer "reasonable accommodations" to those who can't be vaccinated because of religion, disability, or due to pregnancy. A decision by the supreme court may provide legal precedence that protects employees from being forced to choose between accepting an unwanted medical procedure and providing for their families.

### 10 June 2021

Update on the Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] of Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson for multiple counts of pandemic fraud. The judge requires more time to decide whether to issue summonses for the defendants to answer the charges laid at Westminster Magistrates Court, because of the sheer weight of the evidence that is now before him. The judge requested a hard copy of the leaked WHO flu 'vaccine' safety study [initially received on a hard drive]. The evidence before the court includes witness statements from eleven expert witnesses, all of whom unequivocally corroborate the allegations made in the Statement of Case. The judge's decision is expected shortly.

### 9 June 2021

Britain's High Court ruled today that the U.K. Government acted unlawfully in awarding a contract to a company run by friends of the former top adviser to Prime Minister Boris Johnson. In her ruling, Judge Finola O'Farrell found that a June 2020 decision by Cabinet Office minister Michael Gove to pay more than 500,000 pounds (\$700,000) to market research firm **Public First** *"gave rise to apparent bias and was unlawful."* The case was brought by the **Good Law Project**: a legal campaigning group which argued that Dominic Cummings Johnson's then-chief adviser, wanted focus group and communications support services work to be given during the coronavirus pandemic to a company with executives who were his friends. The judge ruled that the *"failure to consider any other research agency, by reference to experience, expertise, availability or capacity, would lead a fair-minded and informed observer to conclude that there was a real possibility, or a real danger, that the decision-maker was biased."*

### 29 May 2021

A Texas hospital is being sued over its requirement that all employees take the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatment [Vaccine]. 117 health care workers at the "Houston Methodist Hospital" are all required to have the vaccine by 7 June 2021 or face termination of employment. Although 99% have already complied 177 employees have filed a lawsuit. The suit claims: "For the first time in the history of the United States, an employer is forcing an employee to participate in an experimental vaccine trial as a condition for continued employment." It should be noted that in 1947, in response to the atrocities that victims in concentration camps faced as Nazis subjected them to medical experiments, the Nuremberg Code was created. The first of the ten principles of the code states: *"The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential."* Clearly, being forced to take an experimental vaccine or face termination from your job violates the "voluntary consent" principle. As the suit states: "This, as a matter of fact, is a gene modification medical experiment on human beings, performed without informed consent. It is a severe and blatant violation of the Nuremberg Code."

### 23 May 2021

Lawyer Thomas Renz, along with a group of lawyers, filed a temporary restraining order against various government agencies in an Alabama court USA to halt vaccinations of children. Among their reasons for filing the order: FDA Emergency Use Authorization in this case is illegal; children have virtually zero chance of getting or transmitting Covid; the vaccines are experimental and children should not be experimented upon, the vaccines already have an inordinately high rate of serious adverse reactions and deaths. The plaintiffs are: American Frontline Doctors, Dr Scott Jensen, Ellen Milligan, Jody Sobczak, Deborah Sobczak, Lyle Bloom, Julie Bloom, Andrea Mc

Farlane, Jennifer Greenslade, Steven M. Roth MD, Matt Schweder. The plaintiffs bring before the Court a request for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") against the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), and the relevant sub-agencies and personnel including, but not limited to, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institutes of Health (NIH), the DHHS Secretary, the DHHS Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, and the DHHS Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee, seeking temporary injunctive relief against any existing or further authorization for use in children under the age of 16, of any of the Covid 19 "vaccines" that have been approved under the Emergency Use Authorization ("EUA") provided in 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3. In this Motion, Plaintiffs ask only that the status quo be maintained – that the EUAs not permit the use of Covid 19 vaccines in children under the age of 16, and that no further expansion of the EUAs to children under the age of 16 be granted prior to the resolution of these issues at trial. Such relief would protect the lives and safety of millions of children in the American public for whom serious illness and mortality from Covid 19 represent a zero percent (0%) risk statistically, but who face substantial risks from these experimental injections.

### 19 May 2021

Martin Ackermann, head of the Swiss Covid 19 Science Task Force [the equivalent of SAGE in the UK] indicted by five organisations and seven private individuals for criminal attempted and actual intimidation of the people contrary to the constitution. Criminal charges include:

- repeated bogus prognosis
- systematic manipulation of intensive-care bed data
- false pronouncements on hospitalisation and death rates
- constantly changing measures as best suits the narrative.

### 15 May 2021

"Statement of Case" alleging pandemic fraud against Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson was filed electronically at Westminster Magistrates Court on 19 March 2021, along with a covering letter informing the court that the substantive evidence bundle would be sent by Royal Mail Special Delivery the following week, including hard copies of the "Statement of Case" and the covering letter. On 26 March 2021 the three case files were received and signed for by the court. The judge purported to dismiss the case on the emphatically unsustainable ground that not enough prima facie evidence had been presented for the case to proceed. When it became clear that the judge's decision was based on the 126 page "Statement of Case" and that he had not had sight of the supporting evidence of 800 pages which included 11 expert witness statements in support of the serious allegations made, the judge indicated that he would be reconsidering the case upon all the evidence adduced at the end of next week, with his final decision to follow shortly afterwards. The judge's second decision is awaited.

### 11 May 2021

The legal team representing America's Frontline Doctors is filing cases in court to prevent the Food and Drugs Administration [FDA] from issuing an "*Emergency Use Authorisation*" [EUA] for the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatment for 12 to 15 year old children. The FDA proposes to expand the EUA for Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine to enrol adolescents, ages 12-15. Scientists around the world have noted extreme danger and believe **the danger is even greater for young people** due to their stronger immune systems. There are now over 4,100 deaths associated with the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatments. By comparison, an experimental vaccine rollout in the USA in 1976 was permanently halted after just 25 deaths. Critical testing and clinical trials were bypassed. The average length of time required to approve a vaccine is 10-15 years but now, barely six months in, the FDA is poised to test it on children and then request universal approval. Independent scientists from all over the world are protesting, but they are being ignored. The lives of America's youth are now at grave risk over an experimental injection for a virus which is 99.97%

survivable. Children are not affected by Covid, so why should they receive an experimental gene-editing treatment.

Parents, teachers, doctors, and all others who can attest to harm, injury, or death from vaccines already administered will provide the evidence to save children from being injected with these dangerous and unnecessary biological agents.

Further updates will be provided as litigation now progresses.

## **10 May 2021**

Open case against Covid fraud in Costa Rica's highest court. Action filed in the Constitutional Chamber to nullify entire emergency decree and all health measures. The 198 page lawsuit filed on May 10th 2021 against the President, Health Minister and Ministerio de Salud names 20 constitutional and human rights issues that allegedly invalidates the entire emergency. Issues include the harmless nature of the virus which is found to be less harmful than influenza. Therapeutics that are effective mean there is no need for the emergency and the intentional use of fraudulent PCR testing methods in Costa Rica using 45 cycles to "confirm covid-19 cases and deaths" are used to justify the emergency.

The lawsuit alleges the motive behind the continued use of fraudulent testing methods to confirm cases of covid-19 is there is a global fraud between the UN, the WHO, Health Ministries worldwide and the media to stage the entire pandemic to usurp the sovereignty of Costa Rica and all nations, among other nefarious documented reasons.

Courts in Austria, Germany, Portugal and Sweden have already decreed the SARS-CoV-2 PCR test to "confirm" Covid 19 is at least 97-100% false positives for SARS-CoV-2 and therefore insufficient to suspend people's rights or justify lockdowns. The SARS-CoV-2 PCR test is not admitted for diagnostic purposes. It is important to note there is no test for Covid, which is a virus that Ministerio de Salud admits has never been found to exist. The president of the Constitutional Chamber is assigned and has a duty to order a hearing for the entire chamber to decide if Costa Rica will join the other countries that denounce as unconstitutional the SARS-CoV-2 PCR test as the only way to identify covid-19 cases.

## **20 April 2021**

In a 27-page submission, a '*Request for Investigation*' of the UK Government and its advisers, for genocide, crimes against humanity and breaches of the Nuremberg Code, was issued to the International Criminal Court [ICC] at the Hague. Compelling reasons as to why the UK Government and its advisers are guilty of the above charges was submitted. The ICC will review the '*Request for Investigation*' and assess whether they believe there is a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation into a '*Situation*', pursuant to the criteria established by the Rome Statute.

The ICC does not provide a timeline regarding acceptance, nor is there any guarantee that they will ultimately accept the '*Request for Investigation*' due to a variety of reasons, including the fact that they are limited in their capacity to conduct investigations.

## **19 April 2021**

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] alleging pandemic fraud is set to be listed for hearing at Bromley Magistrates Court. Effectively, this means that the case files contained enough prima facie evidence of pandemic fraud for Westminster to transfer the case to Bromley, for the purposes of performing the final legal checks [the initial checks having been done by the south London court's legal department] and listing the first hearing of the case at the Kent court.

## **8 April 2021**

In summary proceedings (Ref.: 9 F 148/21), the Weimar Family Court in Germany ruled prohibiting two Weimar schools with immediate effect from requiring pupils to wear mouth-nose coverings of any kind (especially "qualified" masks such as FFP2 masks); it further prohibited the schools from demanding compliance with AHA minimum distance-keeping; and also prohibited them from

demanding that pupils undergo SARS-CoV-2 rapid tests. At the same time, the Court ruled that classroom instruction must be face-to-face [i.e. not remote].

On the subject of the PCR test, the Court writes: "The expert witness Prof. Dr. med. Kappstein has already pointed out in her testimony that the PCR test can only detect genetic material, but not whether the RNA originates from viruses that are capable of infection and thus capable of replication (i.e. capable of reproduction). The expert witness Prof. Dr. rer. biol. hum. Kämmerer confirmed, in her testimony on molecular biology, that a PCR test – even if it is carried out correctly – cannot provide any information on whether a person is infected with an active pathogen or not. This is because the test cannot distinguish between "dead" matter, e.g. a completely harmless genome fragment as a remnant of the body's own immune system's fight against a cold or flu (such genome fragments can still be found many months after the immune system has "dealt with" the problem) and "living" matter, i.e. a "fresh" virus capable of reproducing.

## 24 March 2021

The Vienna Administrative Court judgment VGW-103/048/3227/2021-2 ruled on a complaint filed by the Freedom Party of Austria [FPO] against what it considered a grossly illegal ban on a registered rally. In its ruling, the court rejected the Corona policy of the federal government. Citing internationally recognized experts, studies and the World Health Organization, the court found that the Minister of Health, Anschöber's, disease definitions were wrong and that a PCR test for Covid-19 diagnosis was unsuitable. The PCR test is destroyed as a credible determinant of Covid illness.

Antigen tests are also deemed not credible. Certified medical doctors alone are able to determine specific cases of illness. The Vienna Administrative Court examined closely the basis for the Austrian federal government's policy and found that definition of illness from the Ministry of Health alone is completely wrong and baseless. Confirmed Covid 19 "case" is defined 23 December 2020 as:

- 1] Any person with detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific nucleic acid [PCR test], regardless of clinical manifestation, or
- 2] Any person, with detection of SARS-CoV specific antigen, who fulfils the clinical criteria, or
- 3] Any person, with detection of SARS-CoV specific antigen, who fulfils the epidemiological criteria.

None of the three "confirmed cases" defined by the Minister of Health meet the requirements of the World Health Organisation [WHO] term "**ill / infected person**." The sole reliance on the PCR test (confirmed case 1) is rejected by the WHO. The Health Service of the City of Vienna uses the words "case numbers," "test results," "case incidence," as well as "number of infections." This jumbling of terms does not do justice to a scientific assessment of the epidemic situation. For the WHO, the decisive factor is the number of **infections / illnesses** and not the number of people tested positive or other "case numbers". This is similar to a ruling made by a Portuguese appeals court in November 2020 that the tests are unreliable and that it is unlawful to quarantine people based on test result. The verdict can still be appealed to the Constitutional Court or an extraordinary appeal can be filed with the Administrative Court.

## 19 March 2021

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] papers alleging pandemic fraud were laid electronically at a south London Magistrates Court, against Matt Hancock, Chris Whitty, Patrick Vallance and Neil Ferguson. The Court will receive a 1,200 page bundle of evidence, which includes expert witness statements from two professors, three doctors, a dental surgeon, a probate solicitor, a mathematician, a retired nuclear submarine data analyst, an independent data analyst and a former CID fraud detective. In addition to the charges of fraud by false representation and non-disclosure, in material breaches of sections 2 and 3 of the Fraud Act 2006, the action [instigated by Michael O'Bernecia] is informally applying for a declaration, under the inherent powers of the

court, which states that autopsies are to be carried out for all alleged Covid deaths, which will be held as evidence in the forthcoming trial, on the ground that expert witness testimony is available of the falsification of death certificates, as per UK Government policy. Additionally, a request is being made for a moratorium on the UK influenza and Covid 'vaccinations' programmes to be declared for period of at least 90 days, in order to definitively establish whether it is Covid 19 or 'vaccines' that are killing people at a minimum mortality rate of 377 per 100,000 healthy adults, as per the leaked WHO approved 'vaccine' safety study which is being included as evidence. The court previously requested more prima facie evidence when the last application in late 2020 was made, seeking the arrest of Matt Hancock for fraud by non-disclosure over the declassification of Covid 19 by the ACDP.

### **11 January 2021**

Legal proceedings under Class Proceedings Act 1992 initiated on behalf of plaintiffs at Ontario Superior Court of Justice alleging, inter alia, crimes against humanity and war crimes. Court reference CV-21-000085478-00CP. Defendants include: Pope Francis, The Holy See, The State of the Vatican, The Society of Jesus, H M Queen Elizabeth II, The Order of the Garter, The House of Windsor, Global Vaccine Alliance [GAVI], the United Nations World Health Organisation, Public Health Organisation of Canada, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Dr Theresa Tam, Premier Doug Ford, Christine Elliot, Mayor Jim Watson, Attorney General of Canada, Attorney General for Ontario. The action alleges, inter alia, that the defendants are vicariously liable for knowingly and wilfully advancing, promoting, adopting and manufacturing Covid 19 protocols, task force response, and medical protocols which violate terms and provisions of the "*Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act*". Under the action the plaintiffs and Class Members seek damages for breach of domestic torts such as negligence, breach of fiduciary duty, malfeasance in office, unlawful confinement, and conspiracy. The plaintiffs and Class Members also seek damages for breaches of customary international law, prohibitions against crimes against humanity, cruel, inhuman or degrading behaviour, and torts of genocide and apartheid.

### **15 December 2020**

"Cease and Desist" papers served on Dr Christian Drosten regarding the fraudulent content of the "Corman - Drosten paper" on RT-PCR tests, by Dr Reiner Fuellmich [Dr in Law] who leads a team of 34 lawyers prosecuting global officials over Covid 19.

### **25 November 2020**

First lawsuit in a multi-lawsuit strategy filed in Germany. Fact checkers are being sued regarding validity of RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 virus. Dr Reimer Fuellmich working with the "*Coronavirus Investigation Committee*" in Germany. Cases also filed in U S Courts as they have better separation between the legislature and the legal system than courts in Europe.

### **11 November 2020**

An appeals court in Portugal has ruled that the RT-PCR process is not a reliable test for Sars-Cov-2 (the purported cause of the Covid-19 disease [which has not been isolated or identified with a compiled genome available], and therefore any enforced quarantine based on those test results is unlawful. Further, the ruling suggested that any forced quarantine applied to healthy people could be a violation of their fundamental right to liberty. Most importantly, the judges ruled that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection.

### **2 September 2020**

191- page lawsuit filed by "Rocco Galati" against multiple levels of the Canadian Government regarding their management of the alleged pandemic. The following are named in his lawsuit: Justin Trudeau, Theresa Tam, Doug Ford, John Tory, and a host of other federal, provincial and municipal government officials. The lawsuit seeks several official declarations from the courts that

pandemic measures are neither scientific or medically-based, that they are extreme, irrational, and unwarranted and that they breach multiple sections of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. He is also seeking damages from the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation [CBC].