



29 June 2021

British Columbia "State of Emergency" retracted. People in British Columbia Canada are free to move around without wearing masks, without social distancing, without any of the restrictive measures imposed by the Trudeau Government on 18 March 2020. Coerced vaccination is over, and no more vaccination certificates.

23 June 2021

Following a citizen's petition, a Lisbon court in Portugal was forced to provide verified Covid 19 mortality data. The number of verified Covid 19 deaths from January 2020 to April 2021 is only 152, not about 17,000 as claimed by government ministries. All the "others" died for various reasons, although their PCR test was positive. The data is from the Sistema de Informação dos Certificados de Óbito [Death Certificate Information System], the only such system in Portugal.

22 June 2021

An order has been received from the Deputy Chief Magistrate in People's Union of Britain's [PUB's] Private Criminal Prosecution against Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson for pandemic fraud, dismissing the case without a hearing. An imminent appeal to the High Court is to be filed in an application to have the judgement overturned. Even if the initial application for permission to appeal is refused on paper, PUB will be entitled to ask that the matter be decided at a hearing before a single judge, which will almost certainly take place at the Royal Courts of Justice within the next few weeks.

12 June 2021

Lawsuit filed by 117 workers at the Huston Methodist Hospital, America. A federal judge dismissed the suit ... saying that firing employees who don't want to be vaccinated doesn't qualify for wrongful termination. Employees face a 21 June 2021 deadline where the hospital has said, all unvaccinated employees will be terminated. Currently, 178 employees at Houston Methodist Hospital are suspended without pay for failing to comply with the vaccination requirement. The lawyer who represents the employees has filed an appeal and says he will take the matter all the way to the Supreme Court if need be. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission has said that firing an employee for refusing the vaccine is not violating the law, although companies have to offer "reasonable accommodations" to those who can't be vaccinated because of religion, disability, or due to pregnancy. A decision by the supreme court may provide legal precedence that protects employees from being forced to choose between accepting an unwanted medical procedure and providing for their families.

10 June 2021

Update on the Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] of Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson for multiple counts of pandemic fraud. The judge requires more time to decide whether to issue summonses for the defendants to answer the charges laid at Westminster Magistrates Court, because of the sheer weight of the evidence that is now before him. The judge requested a hard copy of the leaked WHO flu 'vaccine' safety study [initially received on a hard drive]. The evidence before the court includes witness statements from eleven expert witnesses, all of whom unequivocally corroborate the allegations made in the Statement of Case. The judge's decision is expected shortly.

9 June 2021

Britain's High Court ruled today that the U.K. Government acted unlawfully in awarding a contract to a company run by friends of the former top adviser to Prime Minister Boris Johnson. In her ruling, Judge Finola O'Farrell found that a June 2020 decision by Cabinet Office minister Michael Gove to pay more than 500,000 pounds (\$700,000) to market research firm **Public First** "*gave rise to apparent bias and was unlawful.*" The case was brought by the **Good Law Project**: a legal campaigning group which argued that Dominic Cummings Johnson's then-chief adviser, wanted focus group and communications support services work to be given during the coronavirus pandemic to a company with executives who were his friends. The judge ruled that the "*failure to consider any other research agency, by reference to experience, expertise, availability or capacity, would lead a fair-minded and informed observer to conclude that there was a real possibility, or a real danger, that the decision-maker was biased.*"

29 May 2021

A Texas hospital is being sued over its requirement that all employees take the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatment [Vaccine]. 117 health care workers at the "Houston Methodist Hospital" are all required to have the vaccine by 7 June 2021 or face termination of employment. Although 99% have already complied 177 employees have filed a lawsuit. The suit claims: "For the first time in the history of the United States, an employer is forcing an employee to participate in an experimental vaccine trial as a condition for continued employment." It should be noted that in 1947, in response to the atrocities that victims in concentration camps faced as Nazis subjected them to medical experiments, the Nuremberg Code was created. The first of the ten principles of the code states: "*The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.*" Clearly, being forced to take an experimental vaccine or face termination from your job violates the "voluntary consent" principle. As the suit states: "This, as a matter of fact, is a gene modification medical experiment on human beings, performed without informed consent. It is a severe and blatant violation of the Nuremberg Code."

23 May 2021

Lawyer Thomas Renz, along with a group of lawyers, filed a temporary restraining order against various government agencies in an Alabama court USA to halt vaccinations of children. Among their reasons for filing the order: FDA Emergency Use Authorization in this case is illegal; children have virtually zero chance of getting or transmitting Covid; the vaccines are experimental and children should not be experimented upon, the vaccines already have an inordinately high rate of serious adverse reactions and deaths. The plaintiffs are: American Frontline Doctors, Dr Scott Jensen, Ellen Milligan, Jody Sobczak, Deborah Sobczak, Lyle Bloom, Julie Bloom, Andrea Mc Farlane, Jennifer Greenslade, Steven M. Roth MD, Matt Schweder. The plaintiffs bring before the Court a request for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") against the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), and the relevant sub-agencies and personnel including, but not limited to, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institutes of Health (NIH), the DHHS Secretary, the DHHS Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response, and the DHHS Vaccines and Related Biological Products Advisory Committee, seeking temporary injunctive relief against any existing or further authorization for use in children under the age of 16, of any of the Covid 19 "vaccines" that have been approved under the Emergency Use Authorization ("EUA") provided in 21 U.S. Code § 360bbb-3. In this Motion, Plaintiffs ask only that the status quo be maintained – that the EUAs not permit the use of Covid 19 vaccines in children under the age of 16, and that no further expansion of the EUAs to children under the age of 16 be granted prior to the resolution of these issues at trial. Such relief would protect the lives and safety of millions of children in the American public for whom serious illness and mortality from Covid 19 represent a zero percent (0%) risk statistically, but who face substantial risks from these experimental injections.

19 May 2021

Martin Ackermann, head of the Swiss Covid 19 Science Task Force [the equivalent of SAGE in the UK] indicted by five organisations and seven private individuals for criminal attempted and actual intimidation of the people contrary to the constitution. Criminal charges include:

- repeated bogus prognosis
- systematic manipulation of intensive-care bed data
- false pronouncements on hospitalisation and death rates
- constantly changing measures as best suits the narrative.

15 May 2021

"Statement of Case" alleging pandemic fraud against Hancock, Whitty, Vallance and Ferguson was filed electronically at Westminster Magistrates Court on 19 March 2021, along with a covering letter informing the court that the substantive evidence bundle would be sent by Royal Mail Special Delivery the following week, including hard copies of the "Statement of Case" and the covering letter. On 26 March 2021 the three case files were received and signed for by the court. The judge purported to dismiss the case on the emphatically unsustainable ground that not enough prima

facie evidence had been presented for the case to proceed. When it became clear that the judge's decision was based on the 126 page "Statement of Case" and that he had not had sight of the supporting evidence of 800 pages which included 11 expert witness statements in support of the serious allegations made, the judge indicated that he would be reconsidering the case upon all the evidence adduced at the end of next week, with his final decision to follow shortly afterwards. The judge's second decision is awaited.

11 May 2021

The legal team representing America's Frontline Doctors is filing cases in court to prevent the Food and Drugs Administration [FDA] from issuing an "*Emergency Use Authorisation*" [EUA] for the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatment for 12 to 15 year old children. The FDA proposes to expand the EUA for Pfizer's COVID-19 vaccine to enrol adolescents, ages 12-15. Scientists around the world have noted extreme danger and believe **the danger is even greater for young people** due to their stronger immune systems. There are now over 4,100 deaths associated with the experimental Covid 19 gene-editing treatments. By comparison, an experimental vaccine rollout in the USA in 1976 was permanently halted after just 25 deaths. Critical testing and clinical trials were bypassed. The average length of time required to approve a vaccine is 10-15 years but now, barely six months in, the FDA is poised to test it on children and then request universal approval. Independent scientists from all over the world are protesting, but they are being ignored. The lives of America's youth are now at grave risk over an experimental injection for a virus which is 99.97% survivable. Children are not affected by Covid, so why should they receive an experimental gene-editing treatment.

Parents, teachers, doctors, and all others who can attest to harm, injury, or death from vaccines already administered will provide the evidence to save children from being injected with these dangerous and unnecessary biological agents.

Further updates will be provided as litigation now progresses.

20 April 2021

In a 27-page submission, a '*Request for Investigation*' of the UK Government and its advisers, for genocide, crimes against humanity and breaches of the Nuremberg Code, was issued to the International Criminal Court [ICC] at the Hague. Compelling reasons as to why the UK Government and its advisers are guilty of the above charges was submitted. The ICC will review the '*Request for Investigation*' and assess whether they believe there is a reasonable basis to proceed with an investigation into a '*Situation*', pursuant to the criteria established by the Rome Statute.

The ICC does not provide a timeline regarding acceptance, nor is there any guarantee that they will ultimately accept the '*Request for Investigation*' due to a variety of reasons, including the fact that they are limited in their capacity to conduct investigations.

19 April 2021

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] alleging pandemic fraud is set to be listed for hearing at Bromley Magistrates Court. Effectively, this means that the case files contained enough prima facie evidence of pandemic fraud for Westminster to transfer the case to Bromley, for the purposes of performing the final legal checks [the initial checks having been done by the south London court's legal department] and listing the first hearing of the case at the Kent court.

8 April 2021

In summary proceedings (Ref.: 9 F 148/21), the Weimar Family Court in Germany ruled prohibiting two Weimar schools with immediate effect from requiring pupils to wear mouth-nose coverings of any kind (especially "qualified" masks such as FFP2 masks); it further prohibited the schools from demanding compliance with AHA minimum distance-keeping; and also prohibited them from demanding that pupils

undergo SARS-CoV-2 rapid tests. At the same time, the Court ruled that classroom instruction must be face-to-face [i.e. not remote].

On the subject of the PCR test, the Court writes: "The expert witness Prof. Dr. med. Kappstein has already pointed out in her testimony that the PCR test can only detect genetic material, but not whether the RNA originates from viruses that are capable of infection and thus capable of replication (i.e. capable of reproduction). The expert witness Prof. Dr. rer. biol. hum. Kämmerer confirmed, in her testimony on molecular biology, that a PCR test – even if it is carried out correctly – cannot provide any information on whether a person is infected with an active pathogen or not. This is because the test cannot distinguish between "dead" matter, e.g. a completely harmless genome fragment as a remnant of the body's own immune system's fight against a cold or flu (such genome fragments can still be found many months after the immune system has "dealt with" the problem) and "living" matter, i.e. a "fresh" virus capable of reproducing.

A full PDF copy of the judge's comments and details of the case are available for download. Please enter the following URL address (inside the brackets) into your browser:

[www.biggeesblog.cymru/PDF/Weimar_Court_Ruling_08-04-2021.pdf]

19 March 2021

Private Criminal Prosecution [PCP] papers alleging pandemic fraud were laid electronically at a south London Magistrates Court, against Matt Hancock, Chris Whitty, Patrick Vallance and Neil Ferguson. The Court will receive a 1,200 page bundle of evidence, which includes expert witness statements from two professors, three doctors, a dental surgeon, a probate solicitor, a mathematician, a retired nuclear submarine data analyst, an independent data analyst and a former CID fraud detective. In addition to the charges of fraud by false representation and non-disclosure, in material breaches of sections 2 and 3 of the Fraud Act 2006, the action [instigated by Michael O'Bernecia] is informally applying for a declaration, under the inherent powers of the court, which states that autopsies are to be carried out for

all alleged Covid deaths, which will be held as evidence in the forthcoming trial, on the ground that expert witness testimony is available of the falsification of death certificates, as per UK Government policy. Additionally, a request is being made for a moratorium on the UK influenza and Covid 'vaccinations' programmes to be declared for period of at least 90 days, in order to definitively establish whether it is Covid 19 or 'vaccines' that are killing people at a minimum mortality rate of 377 per 100,000 healthy adults, as per the leaked WHO approved 'vaccine' safety study which is being included as evidence. The court previously requested more prima facie evidence when the last application in late 2020 was made, seeking the arrest of Matt Hancock for fraud by non-disclosure over the declassification of Covid 19 by the ACDP.

11 January 2021

Legal proceedings under Class Proceedings Act 1992 initiated on behalf of plaintiffs at Ontario Superior Court of Justice alleging, inter alia, crimes against humanity and war crimes. Court reference CV-21-000085478-00CP. Defendants include: Pope Francis, The Holy See, The State of the Vatican, The Society of Jesus, H M Queen Elizabeth II, The Order of the Garter, The House of Windsor, Global Vaccine Alliance [GAVI], the United Nations World Health Organisation, Public Health Organisation of Canada, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, Dr Theresa Tam, Premier Doug Ford, Christine Elliot, Mayor Jim Watson, Attorney General of Canada, Attorney General for Ontario. The action alleges, inter alia, that the defendants are vicariously liable for knowingly and wilfully advancing, promoting, adopting and manufacturing Covid 19 protocols, task force response, and medical protocols which violate terms and provisions of the "*Crimes Against Humanity and War Crimes Act*". Under the action the plaintiffs and Class Members seek damages for breach of domestic torts such as negligence, breach of fiduciary duty, malfeasance in office, unlawful confinement, and conspiracy. The plaintiffs and Class Members also seek damages for breaches of customary international law, prohibitions against crimes against humanity, cruel, inhuman or degrading behaviour, and torts of genocide and apartheid.

15 December 2020

"Cease and Desist" papers served on Dr Christian Drosten regarding the fraudulent content of the "Corman - Drosten paper" on RT-PCR tests, by Dr Reiner Fuellmich [Dr in Law] who leads a team of 34 lawyers prosecuting global officials over Covid 19.

25 November 2020

First lawsuit in a multi-lawsuit strategy filed in Germany. Fact checkers are being sued regarding validity of RT-PCR test for SARS-CoV-2 virus. Dr Reimer Fuellmich working with the "*Coronavirus Investigation Committee*" in Germany. Cases also filed in U S Courts as they have better separation between the legislature and the legal system than courts in Europe.

11 November 2020

An appeals court in Portugal has ruled that the RT-PCR process is not a reliable test for Sars-Cov-2 (the purported cause of the Covid-19 disease [which has not been isolated or identified with a compiled genome available], and therefore any enforced quarantine based on those test results is unlawful. Further, the ruling suggested that any forced quarantine applied to healthy people could be a violation of their fundamental right to liberty. Most importantly, the judges ruled that a single positive PCR test cannot be used as an effective diagnosis of infection.

2 September 2020

191- page lawsuit filed by "Rocco Galati" against multiple levels of the Canadian Government regarding their management of the alleged pandemic. The following are named in his lawsuit: Justin Trudeau, Theresa Tam, Doug Ford, John Tory, and a host of other federal, provincial and municipal government officials. The lawsuit seeks several official declarations from the courts that pandemic measures are neither scientific or medically-based, that they are extreme, irrational, and unwarranted and that they breach multiple sections of the Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms. He is also seeking damages from the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation [CBC].