



# INFORMED CONSENT/ PERMISSION

## To Administer An Injection

## To Members Of The Public

---

### Preamble

It is misleadingly stated or implied that there is a 'vaccine' available from various pharmaceutical companies to prevent infection by a SARS-Cov-2 'virus' or to prevent the contagion of such a virus.

**This is not the case.**

The manufacturers of the injections in question (mRNA gene therapy inoculation - not previously administered to the human population anywhere in the world), have officially stated that the treatment only *possibly* reduces symptoms and does not provide protection from infection, or the onward transmission of the disease. That is a matter of public record, available on the UK Government's website.

The scientific definition and criterion for a 'vaccine' is not met by the current production or administration of an mRNA inoculation.

The mRNA injection is a gene based treatment, that theoretically induces the body to produce a spike protein within it's own cells. It is not therefore a vaccine. The theory is that this treatment induces an immune response in the body of the subject, and if the same spike protein is encountered at a later date, the body will be able to recognise it, and will not be as severely infected. However in historic experiments, of this kind, on animals, it was discovered that whilst the test animals did produce an immune response to a spike protein that they manufactured within their cells - after inoculation; when they were later exposed to a strain of the same pathogen in the wild, they over reacted resulting in cytokine 'storm' reactions, further resulting in the deaths of all those animals. This is well documented information and is freely available to researchers. [Ref. 1 at foot of document]

### Legality

Any medical experiment (which the mRNA injection is classified as) - or any other procedure – without the explicit **INFORMED** consent of the individual - is illegal under international laws.

As authorisation to administer the injection(s) is given under temporary emergency powers, the injections have not been previously fully trialled – with the final trials not expected to be completed before the summer of 2023. The process of inoculation, which has not received official approval for use, but only authorisation for a specific period during an assumed emergency, are therefore a mass trial involving the general public, or in other words an experiment.

The medical professional administering the treatment (whether an injection or other procedure that is bodily invasive), **MUST**, under every circumstance, explain the procedure fully and provide

answers to any questions posed by the intended recipient of the treatment, before it can be deemed that INFORMED consent is present. The medical professional should also enquire whether the patient fully understands the information given to them, and furthermore understands the potential risks undertaken. [Ref. 2]

## Historic Precedence

Following the so called Nuremberg Trials - following the second World War - doctors who abused their authority against the natural human, moral expectations for those involved in experimental treatments, were tried, found guilty and executed (although executions are now rare – the gravity of the offense remains the same). In the wake of those legal trials a code of conduct was established.

## The Nuremberg Code (1949) - Excerpt

Listed below are the 10 primary clauses contained in the Nuremberg Code (emphasis added by BGB)

1. **The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.** This means that the person involved should have legal capacity to give consent; should be so situated as to be able to exercise free power of choice, without the intervention of any element of force, fraud, deceit, duress, over-reaching, or other ulterior form of constraint or coercion; and should have sufficient knowledge and comprehension of the elements of the subject matter involved, as to enable him to make an understanding and enlightened decision. This latter element requires that, before the acceptance of an affirmative decision by the experimental subject, there should be made known to him the nature, duration, and purpose of the experiment; the method and means by which it is to be conducted; all inconveniences and hazards reasonably to be expected; and the effects upon his health or person, which may possibly come from his participation in the experiment. The duty and responsibility for ascertaining the quality of the consent rests upon each individual who initiates, directs or engages in the experiment. It is a personal duty and responsibility which may not be delegated to another with impunity.
2. The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.
3. The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study, that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment
4. The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.
5. No experiment should be conducted, where there is a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

6. The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.
7. Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.
8. The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.
9. During the course of the experiment, the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end, if he has reached the physical or mental state, where continuation of the experiment seemed to him to be impossible.
10. During the course of the experiment, the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgement required of him, that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject. [Ref. 3]

*End of excerpt . . .*

The Nuremberg Code was accepted and ratified by virtually all nations of the earth in 1949. It is still in legal force. Any persons or nations breaking the code are liable to be held accountable in an international court of law.

To use coercion to try and force an individual to accept any medical treatment against their wishes is a criminal act. More so when the medical treatment is experimental in nature. This also applies to bribery, emotional blackmail or any other kind of force, either physical or psychological used against an individual. E.g. to insist that an individual is deprived of his employment on the grounds that he has refused to be inoculated is also a criminal act under the terms of the Equality Act 2010.

## **Examples Of Possible Questions and Answers To Justify Informed Consent For Covid-19 Injections By Potential Recipients Of The Inoculation.**

**Q-1** Can you give me a full list of ALL ingredients contained in the injection?

**A-1** A FULL list of the substances contained in the injection have not been revealed by the pharmaceutical manufacturers of the experimental mRNA injection. A team of Spanish scientists and doctors have however discovered that a major constituent of the injection is Graphene Oxide. [Ref. 4].

**Q-2** Can this injection cause a serious adverse effect or even death?

**A-2** Yes. The current running total of deaths and adverse effects as a direct result of being injected with the mRNA gene therapy inoculation are reported on the government's MHRA site (the Yellow Card Reporting System) [Ref. 5]. It is confirmed that this database is not a true reflection of the actual numbers, as it is officially acknowledged that only between 1 & 10% of total deaths and

adverse events are reported by the public. Up to the beginning of July 2021 the table below shows deaths and adverse reactions reported:

Report run date: 01/07/2021

<b>Manufacturer</b>	<b>Total reports</b>	<b>Total reactions</b>	<b>Total fatalities</b>
AstraZeneca	216,097	775,940	960
Moderna	7,853	22,191	6
Pfizer	84,421	236,555	450
Unspecified	901	2,690	24
<b>Totals</b>	<b>309,272</b>	<b>1,037,376</b>	<b>1,440</b>

**Q-3** Will this injection interfere with my future health, especially my fertility?

**A-3** The most recent studies show that the 'spike protein' produced by the body's own cells after administration of a mRNA injection sometimes accumulates in the heart tissue, spleen, brain, the placenta in women and the testes in males. This is a cause for concern. Autoimmune system reactions (causing the body to attack it's own cells) could be concentrated in the areas of spike protein concentrations. Theoretically the administration of mRNA therapy by injection may have long term effects on the recipient's ability to reproduce. The long term effects of the treatment are unknown, because there is no long term data available, as the treatment has been rushed through under emergency powers, and therefore has not gone through the usual rigours of long term trials - required to bring approved treatments to the public domain.

## **Conclusion**

1. The mRNA gene therapy injections have NOT been proven to be safe or effective. [Ref. 7]
2. The Nuremberg Code is still in force and any contravention of it is a criminal act.
3. Any individual carrying out the injecting of individuals, without their INFORMED consent can be personally liable to prosecution.

---

**References:**

Reference No.	URL Address
1	<a href="https://biggeesblog.cymru">https://biggeesblog.cymru</a>
2	<a href="https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/02/17/stop-and-think-seriously-what-informed-consent-means/">https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/02/17/stop-and-think-seriously-what-informed-consent-means/</a>
3	<a href="https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/05/17/the-w-h-o-the-centres-for-disease-control-the-world-economic-forum-big-pharma-the-new-nuremberg-trials-of-2021-their-crimes-against-humanity/">https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/05/17/the-w-h-o-the-centres-for-disease-control-the-world-economic-forum-big-pharma-the-new-nuremberg-trials-of-2021-their-crimes-against-humanity/</a>
4	<a href="https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/07/08/special-announcement-spanish-research-team-confirm-what-is-actually-in-the-covid-injections/">https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/07/08/special-announcement-spanish-research-team-confirm-what-is-actually-in-the-covid-injections/</a>
5	<a href="https://coronavirus-yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk/">https://coronavirus-yellowcard.mhra.gov.uk/</a>
6	<a href="https://rightsfreedoms.wordpress.com/2021/04/20/new-mrna-vaccine-could-cause-immune-cells-to-attack-placenta-cells-causing-female-infertility-miscarriage-or-birth-defects/">https://rightsfreedoms.wordpress.com/2021/04/20/new-mrna-vaccine-could-cause-immune-cells-to-attack-placenta-cells-causing-female-infertility-miscarriage-or-birth-defects/</a>
7	<a href="https://www.brighteon.com/cf056b4a-f654-4161-b379-d8dbf29d76f1">https://www.brighteon.com/cf056b4a-f654-4161-b379-d8dbf29d76f1</a>
8	<a href="https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/03/23/why-would-anyone-choose-to-receive-an-experimental-covid-mrna-injection/">https://biggeesblog.cymru/index.php/2021/03/23/why-would-anyone-choose-to-receive-an-experimental-covid-mrna-injection/</a>